Author: BENEDIKT ECKHARDT

CAPInv. 1148: he synodos ton myston tes megales theas pro poleos thesmophorou Demetros

i.	Geographical area	Western Asia Minor
ii.	Region	Ionia
iii.	Site	Smyrna

i. Full name (original language)	ή σύνοδος τῶν μυστῶν τῆς μεγάλης θεᾶς πρὸ πόλεως θεσμοφόρου Δήμητρος (I.Smyrna 655, ll. 1-2)
ii. Full name (transliterated)	he synodos ton myston tes megales theas pro poleos thesmophorou Demetros

i. Date(s)	i - iii AD

i.	Name in other forms	ή σύνοδος τῶν τῆς θεοῦ μυ	στῶν (I.Smyrna 653, l. 1)
ii.	Name elements	Cultie:	mystai
		Theophoric:	Demeter Thesmophoros pro poleos
iii.	Descriptive terms	σύνοδος, synodos	
	Note	synodos: I.Smyrna 653, l. 1; I.Smyrna 655, l. 1	

i. Source(s)	I.Smyrna 653 (i - iii AD) I.Smyrna 654 (i - iii AD) I.Smyrna 655 (i - iii AD)

	Note	See also: GRA II 138	
	Online Resources	The attribution of all three inscriptions to the same association is debated, see below. I.Smyrna 653 I.Smyrna 654 I.Smyrna 655	
i.a.	Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)	
i.b.	Document(s) typology & language/script	Honorific decrees, greek	
i.c.	Physical format(s)	I.Smyrna 653 and I.Smyrna 654 were bases of white marble, one of which is lost; I.Smyrna 655 has only survived in a 19th century drawing.	
ii.	Source(s) provenance	Smyrna	

VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

|--|--|

VII. ORGANIZATION

iv. Officials	The stephanephoros honored by the association in I.Smyrna 655 is the civic magistrate. Two tamiai are
	mentioned in the dating formula of I.Smyrna 653, l. 6; both belonged to the city, as this is a civic decree.

Less certain is the case of the female *theologoi* honoured in I.Smyrna 653 and I.Smyrna 654. In both cases, the statues are erected by the parents (the father in the first case, the mother in the second), but at least in I.Smyrna 653, and maybe also in the less well-preserved I.Smyrna 654, the decision was made by the council, the people, and the *synodos* of the *mystai*. The *theologoi* are praised for having provided everything pertaining to a) general piety towards the goddess and b) the festival of the *mystai*. What exactly this means must remain insecure. Schipporeit 2013: 441-2 thinks that "in Sitzungen der smyrnäischen Synodos referierten 'Theologen' rituelle Texte und Mitglieder führten rituelle Tänze auf''. This would mean to take the *theologia* as an internal institution, but then why would *boule* and *demos* be involved in the honors? The solution may lie in the relationship of this association towards the city; see below.

ii. Meetings and events	A festival of the initiates (ἑορτή τῶν μυστῶν, <i>heorte ton myston</i>) is mentioned in I.Smyrna 653 and I.Smyrna 654.
Deities worshipped	Demeter Thesmophoros



XII NOTES

i. Comments

The cult of Demeter *Thesmophoros* was important in Smyrna at least since the Hellenistic period (Schipporeit 2013: 193-8). Her sanctuary "before the city" (if *pro poleos* really has this sense here) has not yet been found, so the involvement of the *synodos* of initiates in the civic cult is difficult to grasp. But it is clear from the joint decision taken by the council, the people, and the *synodos*, that the *mystai* were not just a private association below the city's radar. It is in fact rather probable that the *theologoi* were honored as civic magistrates (for parallels from Ephesos, see Harland 2014: 310). The *synodos* and its festival seem to have been a part of the civic organization of the cult.

This argument, however, presupposes that the "synodos of the mystai of the great goddess Demeter Thesmophoros before the city" (the one we see honoring the civic stephanephoros) is in fact identical to the "synodos of the mystai of the goddess" in the theologoi-inscriptions. This is not certain, as there was at least one other synodos of mystai focused on a female goddess, namely Kore (I.Smyrna 726). Ziebarth 1900: 511 and Keil 1908: 553-4, n. 3 opt (without any reason) for connecting the theologoi-inscriptions with the synodos for Kore; Poland 1909: 38 is ready to reject both identifications; Schipporeit 2013: 198-9 seems to tend towards the connection with Demeter.

The name of the Kore group was "synodos of the mystai kai enbatai in Smyrna". If the theologoi-inscriptions were connected with this group, we would have to assume that important elements that defined the association's structure had been left out. If we connect them with the Demeter group, all we have to assume is that the name of the goddess was shortened - due to spatial reasons, of course, but also because it was clear to any reader that "the goddess" was Demeter Thesmophoros before the city. In addition, we do not hear of any official connections of the Kore group, while the Demeter group honored a stephanephoros. These arguments cannot lead to a definite decision, but make the identification assumed here the most likely.

ii. Poland concordance

Poland B 353 (I.Smyrna 655) Poland B 354a (I.Smyrna 653) Poland B 354*b (I.Smyrna 654)

iii. Bibliography

Harland, P.A. (2014), Greco-Roman Associations: Texts, Translations, and Commentaries. II. North Coast of the Black Sea, Asia Minor. Berlin, Boston. 308-11.

Keil, J. (1908), 'Über kleinasiatische Grabinschriften', *Hermes* 43: 522-77.

Poland, F. (1909), Geschichte des griechischen Vereinswesens. Leipzig.

Schipporeit, S.T. (2013), Kulte und Heiligtümer der Demeter und Kore in Ionien. Istanbul.

Ziebarth, E. (1900), 'Zu den griechischen Vereinsinschriften', RhM 55: 501-19.

XIII. EVALUATION

i.	Private association	Possible

Note The private character of this association may be doubted; see above.

ii. Historical authenticity

Certain

