Author: ALEXANDRU AVRAM

CAPInv. 1326: **U-BSR-011** 

i.	Geographical area	Black Sea Region
ii.	Region	North coast of the Black Sea
iii.	Site	Pantikapaion

i. Association with unknown name	U-BSR-011

i. Date(s)	f. ii AD

i.	Source(s)	SEG 46: 958 (f. ii AD)
	Online Resources	<u>SEG 46: 958</u> <u>SEG 46: 958[1]</u>
i.a.	Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)
i.b.	Document(s) typology & language/script	Fragmentary Greek inscription, possibly a tombstone put by the association for one of its members.
i.c.	Physical format(s)	Five inscribed and one uninscribed fragment of a limestone stele.
ii.	Source(s) provenance	Pantikapaion (necropolis)

iv. Officials	One γυμ[νασιάρχης], gym[nasiarches] (SEG 46: 958, l. 1), and one νεανισκάρχης, neaniskarches (SEG 46: 958, ll. 2-3), in this case association rather than city officials, as in Tanais ( <u>CAPInv. 1324</u> ). Their names were surely preceded by those of other officials (see XII.i).

ii.	Gender	Men
	Note	The preserved names are male names.
iii.	Age	Children Adults
	Note	The presence of a νεανισκάρχης, <i>neaniskarches</i> , suggests membership of the youth.

iv. Honours/Other activities	The association probably set up tombstones for its members.

i. Local interaction	The membership of a γυμ[νασιάρχης], gym[nasiarches], and a νεανισκάρχης, neaniskarches, may suggests activities in the gymansium.

## XII. NOTES

## . Comments

It is highly probable that the names of the γυμ[νασιάρχης], <code>gym[nasiarches]</code>, and of the νεανισκάρχης, <code>neaniskarches</code>, were preceded by those of other association officials. Best analogy seems to be CIRB 103 (CAPInv. 1296), the only association record from Pantkapaion where both a γυμνασιάρχης, <code>gymnasiarches</code>, and a νεανισκάρχης, <code>neaniskarches</code>, are attested in the staff of an association. Therefore, we suggest restoring:

[Ή σύνοδος ή περὶ] [ἱερέα - - - - - - ] [καὶ πατέρα - - - ] [- - - καὶ συναγω]-[γὸν - - - - - καὶ]

[φιλάγαθον - - - ] [καὶ παραφιλάγα]-

[θον - - - - - καὶ] γυμ[νασιάρχη]-

ν Πύρρη[ν κ]αὶ νεανισκάρχην Σω-

σίαν καὶ τῶν vac. [λοιπῶν συνο]-

[δειτῶν ἀνέστησ]αν [τὸν δεῖνα κτλ.],

[He synodos he peri] [hierea - - - - - ]

[kai patera - - - ]

[- - - kai synago]-[gon - - - - - kai]

[philagathon - - - ]

[kai paraphilaga]-[thon - - - - kai]

gym[nasiarche]-

n Pyrre[n k]ai ne-

aniskarchen Zw-

sian kai ton vac. [loipon syno]-

[deiton anestes]an

[ton deina ktl.]

(For the construction in the genitive, τῶν [λοιπῶν συνοδειτῶν], ton [loipon synodeiton], see CIRB 97 and 98, Vinogradov, SEG).

Thus, our inscription could belong to the association described in CAPInv. 1296.

## iii. Bibliography

Saprykin, S.Ju., and Chevelev, O.D. (1996), 'Fragment grecheskoj nadpisi iz Pantikapeja: k voprosy ob organizacii bosporskikh religioznykh sojuzov [A Fragment of a Greek Inscription from Pantikapaion: On the Question of the Organization of Bosporan Religious Associations]', *Rossijskaja Arkheologija* 2: 161-7.

## XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association Probable

**Note** See XII.i for the possible restoration of this fragmentary inscription.

