

Author: MARIO C.D. PAGANINI

CAPInv. 1491: to politeuma ton Phrygon

I. LOCATION

i. Geographical area	Egypt
ii. Nome	Alexandria (I.00)
iii. Site	Alexandria ?

II. NAME

i. Full name (original language)	τὸ πολίτευμα τῶν Φρυγῶν (I.Alex. Imp. 74, ll. 3-4)
ii. Full name (transliterated)	<i>to politeuma ton Phrygon</i>

III. DATE

i. Date(s)	3 BC
------------	------

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

ii. Name elements	Ethnic:	<i>Phrygoi</i> , Phrygians
iii. Descriptive terms	πολίτευμα, <i>politeuma</i>	
Note	<i>Politeuma</i> : I.Alex. Imp. 74, l. 3	

V. SOURCES

i. Source(s)	I.Alex. Imp. 74 (Pharmouthi = 27 March – 25 April 3 BC)
Note	Other editions of the text are: SB V 7875, OGIS II 658, IG XIV 701, IGRR I 458, AGRW 316.
Online Resources	I.Alex. Imp. 74 OGIS II 658 TM 105972 AGRW ID 1850
i.a. Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)

i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script	Greek dedication to Zeus <i>Phrygios</i> by the former priest of the <i>politeuma</i> of the Phrygians.
i.c. Physical format(s)	Black basalt statue base.
ii. Source(s) provenance	The stone was found by the <i>forum</i> , on the eastern side of the temple of Jupiter <i>Optimus Maximus</i> , at Pompeii (Campania), on 16 August 1818. However, the statue base originally came from Egypt (on the basis of the material, the language, the format of the date according to the Egyptian calendar, and the content), and had been probably set up in Alexandria. The stone is now at the Museo Archeologico of Naples (Inv. 2475).

VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

ii. References to buildings/objects	Statue of Zeus <i>Phrygios</i>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

VII. ORGANIZATION

iv. Officials	The <i>politeuma</i> had at least a priest (whose term of office was limited in time), as the dedicant of the statue to Zeus <i>Phrygios</i> is identified in the inscription as former priest of the <i>politeuma</i> : Γάιος Ἰούλιος Ἡφαίστιωνο<ς> υἱὸς Ἡφαίστιων ἱερατεύσας τοῦ πολιτεύματος τῶν Φρυγῶν, <i>Gaios Ioulios Hephaistionos hyios Hephaistion hierateusas tou politeumatatos ton Phrygon</i> (ll. 1-4). The man may have set up the dedication upon leaving his office or some time afterwards.
---------------	--

IX. MEMBERSHIP

ii. Gender	Men
Note	The ex-priest of the <i>politeuma</i> , who is the only member of the group for which we have any information, was a man.
iv. Status	All the members of the <i>politeuma</i> may have belonged to the ethnic group of Phrygian immigrants: the fact that the dedication was set up in honour of Zeus <i>Phrygios</i> suggests that the group (or at any rate, his ex-priest) maintained or at all events had some attachment to a Phrygian ethno-religious identity. Like other <i>politeumata</i> of Egypt, they may have originally had a military character: this character may have evolved over the centuries. The ex-priest of the association was a (newly-created) Roman citizen, as his <i>tria nomina</i> (and patronymic) attest: Gaius Julius Hephaistion, son of Hephaistion. He may have formally been an Alexandrian.
vi. Proper names and physical features	Γάιος Ἰούλιος Ἡφαίστιωνο<ς> υἱὸς Ἡφαίστιων ἱερατεύσας τοῦ πολιτεύματος τῶν Φρυγῶν

X. ACTIVITIES

iii. Worship	If we are to think that the ex-priest was setting up the statue of Zeus <i>Phrygios</i> also on behalf of the <i>politeuma</i> which he had served as official at some point in the past, the group worshiped that god. This would not be surprising.
Deities worshipped	Zeus <i>Phrygios</i> (?)

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association

Certain

Note

Given the fact that the group seems to be well-established and organised with some durable intent, with internal organisation, officials, and dealings typical of private associations, it seems certain that the *politeuma* of Phrygians was organised as private association or at all events as an ethno-congregational group. It must have derived its origins from a group of Phrygian immigrants (presumably mercenaries) who had settled in Egypt (and Alexandria?) under the Ptolemies and set up a *politeuma* or similar group (the actual characteristics and nature of this original group can only be speculated). The military character of the group (as well as its strict ethnic nature) probably underwent various developments over the centuries: at all events, it can hardly be expected in Roman times.