

Author: MARIO C.D. PAGANINI

CAPInv. 1492: **politeuma** (Lykion)

I. LOCATION

i. Geographical area	Egypt
ii. Nome	Alexandria (I.00)
iii. Site	Alexandria

II. NAME

i. Full name (original language)	πολίτευμα (Λυκίων) (I.Alex. Imp. 24, l. 4)
ii. Full name (transliterated)	<i>politeuma</i> (Lykion)

III. DATE

i. Date(s)	120 AD
------------	--------

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

ii. Name elements	Ethnic:	Lycians
iii. Descriptive terms	πολίτευμα, <i>politeuma</i>	
Note	<i>politeuma</i> : I.Alex. Imp. 24, l. 4	

V. SOURCES

i. Source(s)	I.Alex. Imp. 24 (after 27 Thoth = 24 September 120 AD)
Note	Other editions of the text are: SB III 6025, SEG II 848, SEG VIII 359 (partial edition), SB V 8757, IGR 1.5 1078.
Online Resources	I.Alex. Imp. 24 TM 104030 http://www.philipharland.com/greco-roman-associations/?p=20522^
i.a. Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)

i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script	Greek copy of a decision of the head of the <i>Idios Logos</i> regarding the right to guardianship of tombs, which pertained to the <i>politeuma</i> (of the Lycians).
i.c. Physical format(s)	Plaque of white marble. The inscription is formed by three fragments.
ii. Source(s) provenance	Alexandria.

VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

ii. References to buildings/objects	The inscriptions records the right to guardianship of tombs (μνηματοφυλακία, <i>mnematophylakia</i> , ll. 5-6) which the <i>politeuma</i> (of the Lycians) was entitled to. These tombs may have belonged to the <i>politeuma</i> ; however, this is not stated in the text (see also Swarney 1970: 99 with n. 17 and 109 with n. 31, who does not consider the tombs property of the <i>politeuma</i>). What is clear is that the <i>politeuma</i> had a strong attachment to them and no doubt gained advantages in taking care of them.
-------------------------------------	---

VII. ORGANIZATION

ii. Leadership	On the basis of the syntactical construction of the inscription, it is possible that a certain Ulpus Potamon (ll. 3-4) was at the head of the <i>politeuma</i> ; however, the title of his possible office is not recorded.
iii. Members	The members of the group are collectively called οἱ σὺν αὐτῷ (sc. Ulpus Potamon) ἄ[πὸ πολιτ]εύματος Λύκιοι, <i>hoi syn auto apo tou politeumatōs Lykioi</i> (l. 4). From the grammatical construction of the passage one would assume that not all the Lycians in the area were in fact members of the <i>politeuma</i> .

VIII. PROPERTY AND POSSESSIONS

ii. Realty	The inscription clearly states that Ulpus Potamon and the Lycians belonging to the <i>politeuma</i> declared in front of Dionysios, secretary of the <i>komogrammateus</i> of a village in the Mareotis division (western coast, near Alexandria), that they had the right or task to care for some tombs: Οὐλπίου Ποτάμωνος καὶ τῶν σὺν αὐτῷ ἄ[πὸ πολιτ]εύματος Λυκίων ἐπὶ παρόντι Διονυσίῳ γραμματεῖ κομογραμ[ματέως] □ □ □ τοῦ Μ]αρεώτου εἰπόντων μνηματοφυλακίαν προσήκουσαν αὐτ[οῖς], <i>Oulpiou Potamonos kai ton syn auto apo politeumatōs Lykion epi paronti Dionysio grammatei komogrammateos ... tou Mareotou eiponton mnematophylakian prosekousan autois</i> (ll. 3-6). Given the fact that the declaration is done in front of the subordinate of a local official of a village in the Mareotis and that the man seems to have had some role in hindering the <i>politeuma</i> from their rights (the passage, in ll. 6-7, is fragmentary and of not entirely clear interpretation), it is very likely that the tombs were located in the territory of that village in the Mareotis, outside Alexandria. It is not said in the text that these tombs belonged to the <i>politeuma</i> or that they had any rights to be buried there (see also Swarney 1970: 99 with n. 17 and 109 with n. 31). However, the <i>politeuma</i> clearly reaped some advantages in taking care of the tombs (probably from the produce of their land and gardens?).
iii. Income	The group might have obtained some income from the taking care of the tombs: see VIII.ii 'Realty'.

IX. MEMBERSHIP

ii. Gender	Men
Note	The only attested member of the <i>politeuma</i> is a man, Ulpus Potamon.

iv. Status	On the basis of his onomastics, the only attested member of the <i>politeuma</i> , Ulpus Potamon, was a Roman citizen. He may have been a freedman or former soldier who gained Roman citizenship upon his retirement. The members of the <i>politeuma</i> are said to be Lycians. Originally the group may have gathered immigrants from Lycia who had moved to Egypt under the Ptolemies (probably because they were employed as mercenaries in the army). However, their military and ethnic character may have undergone changes during the course of the centuries.
vi. Proper names and physical features	Οὔλιος Πόταμων

X. ACTIVITIES

iv. Honours/Other activities	The <i>politeuma</i> was in charge of the guardianship or care of some tombs (presumably located in a village outside Alexandria): see VIII.ii 'Realty'.
------------------------------	--

XI. INTERACTION

i. Local interaction	The <i>politeuma</i> seems to be based in Alexandria, where the inscription was found; however, it had dealings also with the surrounding territory, if we are to believe – as it seems likely – that the tombs, after which the group looked, were located in a village of the neighbouring district: see VIII.ii 'Realty'.
----------------------	--

XII. NOTES

i. Comments	Kruse 2015: 287 and 295 has suggested that this <i>politeuma</i> found its origin in Ptolemaic times, when ethnic organisations of this kind are unsurprising given the socio-cultural background of Ptolemaic Egypt, and that the <i>koinon ton Lykion</i> attested in second-century BC Alexandria (see CAPInv. 182) may be the predecessor of this <i>politeuma</i> .
iii. Bibliography	Kruse, T. (2015), 'Ethnic <i>koina</i> and <i>politeumata</i> in Ptolemaic Egypt', in V. Gabrielsen and C. A. Thomsen (eds.), <i>Private associations and the public sphere</i> . Copenhagen, 270-300. Swarney, P. R. (1970), <i>The Ptolemaic Idios Logos</i> . Toronto.

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association	Certain
Note	Given the fact that the <i>politeuma</i> possessed a well-organised structure, common identity that allowed it to have dealings with the authority as a well-defined group, and that it was involved in the taking care of some realty (funerary property), it seems certain that this group functioned as a private association. It must have originally stemmed from a military background of immigrant mercenaries from Lycia under the Ptolemies who set up a <i>politeuma</i> or similar group (the exact nature of which can only be speculated): this probably changed its character and make-up over the centuries. In Roman times, a military nature for the group can no longer be envisaged.