## Author: BENEDIKT ECKHARDT

## CAPInv. 1672: hoi to[u Seta]neiou theou Dionyso[u mystai]

i.	Geographical area	Western Asia Minor
ii.	Region	Ionia
iii.	Site	Teos

i.	Full name (original language)	οί το[ῦ Σητα]νείου θεοῦ Διονύσο[υ μύσται] (SEG 17: 515, Il. 3-4)
ii.	Full name (transliterated)	hoi to[u Seta]neiou theou Dionyso[u mystai]

i. Date(s)	130 - 136 AD

ii. Name elements	Cultic:	mystai
	Theophoric:	Dionysos Setaneios

i.	Source(s)	SEG 17: 515 (130 - 136 AD)
	Note	See also: IGR IV 1567 Boulay 2013: 254  The restorations are based on Hamilton 1842: 451, no. 239, who apparently saw the stone before it was damaged.
	Online Resources	SEG 17: 515
i.a.	Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)

i.b.	Document(s) typology & language/script	Dedicatory inscription, Greek
i.c.	Physical format(s)	Statue base
ii.	Source(s) provenance	"Dug out of the ruins of the temple [sc. of Dionysus]" (Hamilton 1842: 451)

ii. References to buildings/objects	The <i>mystai</i> have erected a statue and an altar for Tiberius Claudius Pius Pisoninus.	

iv. Officials	The inscription has been set up by one Titus Aurelius Georgius Attalianus; he may have been an official of the association, but has covered the expenses with his own money.

iii.	Worship	The epithet <i>Setaneios</i> , possibly related to grain, is not attested elsewhere. Dionysos was the main god worshipped at Teos; the <i>mystai</i> may have participated in the civic cult.
	Deities worshipped	Dionysos Setaneios
iv.	Honours/Other activities	The <i>mystai</i> honor an <i>asiarches</i> for his benefactions towards the association, and refer to earlier benefactions made by other members of his family (ἐκ προγόνων, <i>ek progonon</i> , 1. 5).

ii. Poland concordance	Poland B 336
iii. Bibliography	Boulay, Th. (2013), 'Les « groupes de référence » au sein du corps civique de Téos', in P. Fröhlich, and P. Hamon (eds.), <i>Groupes et associations dans les cités grecques (IIIe siècle av. JC. – IIe siècle apr. JC.)</i> , Genève: 251-75. Hamilton, W.J. (1842), <i>Researches in Asia Minor, Pontus, and Armenia</i> . London.

i.	Private association	Possible
	Note	The status of <i>mystai</i> in the imperial era is often problematic. In this case, not enough information is known to decide whether or not the <i>mystai</i> were a group related to the civic cult (which still would not exclude the possibility of private worship).
ii.	Historical authenticity	Certain