Author: MARIO C.D. PAGANINI

CAPInv. 669: U-EGY-010

i.	Geographical area	Egypt
ii.	Nome	Alexandria (L00)
iii.	Site	Alexandria

II. NAME

i. Association with unknown name U-EGY-010

III. DATE

i. Date(s) s. i (?) BC

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

iii. Descriptive terms $\qquad \qquad \text{suna}[\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}], \, synagoge$

ἔθνος, ethnos (?)

Note Synagoge: I.Alex. Ptol. 45, 1l. 7-8

Ethnos: I.Alex. Ptol. 45, 1. 9

The term *synagoge* normally indicates the general assembly of an association. However, here the term *synagoge* in the wording [ἐν τῶι ἐπισ]ημοτάτωι τῆς συνα|[γωγῆς τόπωι], *en toi episemotatoi tes synagoges topoi* (II. 7-8) seems to refer to the association as a whole or to their meeting place: cf. also

I.Alex. Imp. 92.

V. SOURCES

i. Source(s) I.Alex. Ptol. 45 (late Ptolemaic?)

Note Other editions: I.Breccia 167; SB I 4981.

 Online Resources
 I.Breccia 167

 TM 7166
 TM 7167

i.a. Source type(s) Epigraphic source(s)

i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script Greek fragmentary honorific inscription by the members of an association.

i.c. Physical format(s) Marble plaque.

VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

ii. References to buildings/objects $\mbox{$d\sigma\pi^{'}(\varsigma$, aspis vel similia (l. 4)$}$

στήλη, stele (l. 10)

The term *synagoge* normally indicates the general assembly of an association. However, here the term *synagoge* in the wording [ἐν τῶι ἐπισ]ημοτάτωι τῆς συνα|[γωγῆς τόπωι], *en toi episemotatoi tes synagoges topoi* (II. 7-8) seems to refer to the association as a whole or to their meeting place: cf. also I.Alex. Imp. 92.

ii.	Gender	Men
	Note	Traces of three names of members survive (Il. 12-14): they all seem male names.
vi.	Proper names and physical features	[Δι]ονύσιος [Λε]ωνίδης]κρατης

X. ACTIVITIES

iv. Honours/Other activities The association honoured someone with (possibly) a portrait on a shield (ll. 4-5) to be placed in the most

visible place of the association (Il. 7-8). The present stele was also commissioned (Il. 10-11). Owing to the fragmentary state of the stone, it is not possible to know more details. The members ratified the decisions taken by having their names appended to the text, followed by the verb εὐδοκῶ, eudoko.

XII NOTES

i. Comments The fragmentary state of the stone prevents a full understanding of the text.

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association Probable

Note Owing to the fragmentary state of the stone, it is not possible to be absolutely sure that we are dealing here with a private association. However, on the basis of the type of text, the subscriptions, the term

συνα[γωγή], synagoge (though restored in II. 7-8) and ἔθνος, ethnos (I. 9), it seems very probable that this was a private association.

