

I. LOCATION

i. Geographical area	Egypt
ii. Nome	Pathyrites (U04a)

II. NAME

i. Association with unknown name	U-EGY-012
----------------------------------	-----------

III. DATE

i. Date(s)	140 - 130 BC
------------	--------------

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

iii. Descriptive terms	σύνοδος, <i>synodos</i> (?)
Note	<i>Synodos</i> : P.Dryton 31, l. 4

V. SOURCES

i. Source(s)	P.Dryton 31 (140-131/0 BC)
Note	Other editions: P. Ryl. II 67
Online Resources	P.Dryton 31 TM 286
i.a. Source type(s)	Papyrological source(s)
i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script	Fragmentary Greek petition to the <i>epimeletes</i> by Dryton (?).
i.c. Physical format(s)	Papyrus.

VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

ii. References to buildings/objects	Reference to τόπος συγ[όδου, <i>topos synodou</i> in l. 4.
-------------------------------------	--

VII. ORGANIZATION

iii. Members	If we are dealing with an association here, the members may be referred to as ἄνδρες, <i>andres</i> (ll. 6 and 7).
--------------	--

VIII. PROPERTY AND POSSESSIONS

ii. Realty	The association probably owned a τόπος, <i>topos</i> (l. 4).
iii. Income	If it is indeed the question of an association here, it seems that the petitioner Dryton (?) had been forced to pay some very high sum of money to the association. The reason for the payment is not indicated; what appears from the fragmentary state of the text is that Dryton had been enlisted in the category of wealthy people, and for this reason was expected to pay. However, he was unable to pay off the entire expected amount; therefore, his son Esthladas bought the properties from Dryton (who would then be without properties and thus not any more liable to pay) and took over the administration of his property, together with the incumbency of the payment of the sum of money.

IX. MEMBERSHIP

ii. Gender	Men
Note	If the members of the supposed association are referred to as ἄνδρες, <i>andres</i> (ll. 6 and 7), they were all men.
iii. Age	Adults
Note	As ἄνδρες, <i>andres</i> (ll. 6 and 7), the association's members were adults.
vi. Proper names and physical features	[πα]ρ[ὰ Δ(?)]ρ[ύτωνος τοῦ Παμφύλου (?) παρεφεδρε]ύοντος Κρητὸς δήμου Φ[ιλωτερείου (?)

XII. NOTES

i. Comments	<p>The fragmentary state of the text prevents a full understanding. It is uncertain whether we are dealing with an association here: the term σύνοδος, <i>synodos</i> is restored and not certain. Equally uncertain is whether the term ἄνδρες, <i>andres</i> refers to the members of the association and indicates a same-age club of the users of the gymnasium (as the editor of P.Dryton 31 seems to suggest).</p> <p>The provenance of the papyrus is unknown. However, on the basis of the other texts in the so-called Dryton archive, it may be likely that the text comes from the Pathyrite nome.</p>
-------------	---

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association	Possible
------------------------	----------

Note

Given the fragmentary state of the text we cannot be sure that we are dealing here with a private association: the restoration *συν[όδου, synodou* in l. 4 is doubtful and the mention of *ἄνδρες, andres* (ll. 6 and 7) does not unequivocally indicate the members of an association.