Author: SOPHIA ZOUMBAKI

CAPInv. 773: Tainarioi

i.	Geographical area	Peloponnese with Adjacent Islands
ii.	Region	Laconia
iii.	Site	Sparta

i.	Full name (original language)	Ταινάριοι (IG V.1 210, 1. 1)
ii.	Full name (transliterated)	Tainarioi

i. Date(s)	i BC

ii. Name elements	Topographical:	Tainaron, where a famous sanctuary of Poseidon (Strabo 8.5.1 [= 8.363]). However, there was a sanctuary of Poseidon, called <i>Tainarion</i> , in Sparta, see Paus. 3.12.5 and Kourinou 2000: 185-99.

i.	Source(s)	IG V.1 210 (i BC) IG V.1 211 (i BC) IG V.1 212 (i BC)
	Online Resources	IG V 1, 210 IG V 1, 211 IG V 1, 212
i.a.	Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)

i.b.	Document(s) typology & language/script	Greek catalogues
i.c.	Physical format(s)	All are large stelai IG V.1 210: 1.045 x 0.57 x 0.175 m; IG V.1 211: 0.985 x 0.52 x 0.125 m; IG V.1 212: 0.75 x 0.43 x 0.19 m
ii.	Source(s) provenance	All stelai were found in 1857 at the same place, at the suburb of Sparta called Magoula, near the route of the <i>Aphetais hodos</i> , where the sanctuary of Poseidon is to be located. For this identification see Kourinou 2000: 185-92.

VII. ORGANIZATION

iv. Officials

γραμματε[ύς] (<i>grammateus</i> , secretary): Aristokles son of Philonikides
κάρυκες (<i>karykes</i> , l. <i>kerykes</i> , heralds): Damokrates, Euameros
αὐλητάς (<i>auletas</i> , 1. <i>auletes</i> , flute-player): Damokratidas son of Damokrates
παιανίαι (<i>paianiai</i>): Aristolas, Eudamidas
σὶν φέρων (sin pheron, l. theon pheron, bearer of the image of the deity): Agiteles
κοακτήρ (koakter, perhaps to be associated with Suid. κωάζειν · ἐνεχυράζειν, koazein: enechyrazein,
perhaps responsible for collecting the owed money): Eunous
$\epsilon \pi i \gamma \rho < \alpha > \phi \hat{\omega} v$ (<i>epi gr<a>phon</i> , responsible for setting up the inscribed documents): Soinikos
μάγειρος (<i>mageiros</i> , cook, butcher): Arion
ὀψοποίς (<i>opsopois</i> , responsible for cooking meat): Thyrsos
βαλανεύς (balaneus, responsible for the bath): Dionysios

μάντις (mantis, seer): Sichares son of Teisamenos

IG V 1, 210

IG V.1 211 κάρυκες (karykes, l. kerykes, heralds): Architas son of Aristokles, Kleonymos son of Kletor μάντις (mantis, seer): Arctippos son of Lysippos αὐλητάς (auletas, l. auletes, flute-player): Aristodamos γραμματεύς (grammateus, secretary): Klenikos son of Klenikos τὸν σὶν φέρων (ton sin pheron, l. ton theon pheron, bearer of the image of the deity): Eudaimion ἐπὶ γραφῶν (epi graphon, responsible for setting up the inscribed documents): Euameros κοιακτήρ (koiakter, perhaps to be associated with Suid. κωάζειν · ἐνεχυράζειν, koazein: enechyrazein, perhaps responsible for collecting the owed money): Eubios μάγειρος (mageiros, cook, butcher): Ktesiphon

IG V.1 212 γραμματεύς (grammateus, secretary): Kleon ex Agetas κάρυκες (karykes, l. kerykes, heralds): Pratonikos son of Epistratos, Euameros son of Euameros παιανίαι (paianiai): Aristolas, Pratonikos son of Lysimachos μάντις (mantis, seer): Sichares son of Tisamenos αὐλητάς (auletas, l. auletes, flute-player): Damokratidas son of Damokrates σιοφόρος (siophoros, l. theophoros, bearer of the image of the deity): Ploutos ex Eurybanassas ἐπὶ γραφῶν (epi graphon, responsible for setting up the inscribed documents): Soinikos κοακτήρ (koakter, perhaps to be associated with Suid. κωάζειν · ἐνεχυράζειν, koazein: enechyrazein, perhaps responsible for collecting the owed money): Agiteles σκιφατόμος (skiphatomos the one who cuts the sacrificed animal): Dionysios μάγιρος (magiros, l. mageiros, cook, butcher): Apollodoros

i. Number	52 (including officials) in IG V.1 210; 52 (including officials) in IG V.1 211; 55 (including officials) in IG V 1, 212.
ii. Gender	Men
Note	All attested names of members are male names.



XII NOTES

i. Comments

It is generally accepted that *Tainaristai* are an equivalent of *Tainarioi*, see e.g. Kourinou (2000: 185). Hesychios writes: ταιναρίας· παρὰ Λακεδαιμονίοις ἑορτὴ Ποσειδῶνος· καὶ ἐν αὐτῆ Ταιναρισταί. Ταίναρον γὰρ πεδίον Λακωνικῆς. (tainarias; para Lakedaimoniois heorte Poseidonos; kai en autei *Tainaristai. Tainaron gar pedion Lakonikes*). The cult of Poseidon should have been the central point of *Tainarioi*. It is however not to exclude a meaning similar to Ἰσθμιαστής, *Isthmiastes*, which is interpreted by LSJ as "spectator of the Isthmian games", probably on the basis of the title of a play by Aeschylus, Ἰσθμιασταί, *Isthmiastai*. The lists of *Tainarioi* document in any case the participants in the annual festivities celebrating Poseidon.

Spawforth (2012: 188-9) connects the efflorescence of cults in Sparta in the late 1st c. BC and especially of the cult of Poseidon in his Spartan sanctuary which was an offshoot of the sanctuary at Tainaron, with Eurycles and his interest for the Laconia coast, as his father was a *nauarchos* and he himself had a maritime inclinations.

iii. Bibliography

Kourinou, Ε. (2000), Σπάρτη. Συμβολή στη μνημειακή τοπογραφία της. Athina.

Chrimes, K.M.T. (1949), Ancient Sparta. A re-examination of the evidence. Manchester.

Mylonopoulos, J. (2003), Πελοπόννησος οἰκητήριον Ποσειδῶνος. Heilgtümer und Kulte des Poseidon auf der Peloponnes, Liège: 90, 221, 301, 314-5, 317.

Poland, F. (1909), Geschichte des griechischen Vereinswesens. Leipzig.

Spawforth, A.J.S. (1992), 'Spartan cults under the Roman empire: some notes', in: J.M. Sanders (ed.), $\Phi I\Lambda O\Lambda AK\Omega N$. Laconian studies in honour of Hector Catling, London: 227-38, esp. 233-4, n. 42.

Spawforth, A.J.S. (2012), Greece and the Augustan cultural revolution. Greek culture in the Roman world. Cambridge, New York.

XIII EVALUATION

i. Private association

Note

Discarded

The problem, whether these lists represent civic-cults-participants or members of private cult-associations, has caused a lengthy discussion. The most recent arguments on this debate are those of Spawforth 1992: 233-4, n. 42, who agrees with Poland 1909: 70-2. Both scholars object to the view that these documents are lists of private cult associations.

Poland's and Spawforth's view seems to be justified, as the members of the lists changed from year to year, as their numbers are very large. Poland inclines rather to the view that the lists document no private association, although he cannot exclude the possibility that certain families were connected to certain sanctuaries and conducted festivities. This does not speak however for an organized private association but rather to participants in those annual festivities.

Chrimes (1949: 152-4) sees in *Tainarioi* civic magistrates, but the fact that some of them bear no patronymic implies a servile origin.

