

CAPInv. 992: **hoi technitai hoi ex Isthmou kai Nemeas**

I. LOCATION

i. Geographical area	Central Greece
ii. Region	Boiotia
iii. Site	Thespiae

II. NAME

i. Full name (original language)	οἱ τεχνῖται οἱ ἐξ Ἰσθμοῦ καὶ Νεμέας (I.Thespiae 156, ll. 6-7)
ii. Full name (transliterated)	<i>hoi technitai hoi ex Isthmou kai Nemeas</i>

III. DATE

i. Date(s)	I. iii - i BC
------------	---------------

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

i. Name in other forms	οἱ τεχνῖται: I.Thespiae 161, l. 4; I.Thespiae 165, l. 5; I.Thespiae 166, l. 5; I.Thespiae 167, l. 5; I.Thespiae 169, ll. 3-4 and 6; I.Thespiae 171, l. 5; I.Thespiae 172, l. 4
ii. Name elements	Geographical: <i>ex Isthmou kai Nemeas</i> Professional: <i>technitai</i>

V. SOURCES

i. Source(s)	I.Thespiae 156 (c. 225 BC) I.Thespiae 161 (c. 210-203 BC) I.Thespiae 165 (c. 175-150 BC) I.Thespiae 166 (c. 175-150 BC) I.Thespiae 167 (c. 146-95 BC) I.Thespiae 169 (c. 146-95 BC) I.Thespiae 171 (c. 110-90 BC; c. 110, SEG 54: 516comm.) I.Thespiae 172 (after 84 BC)
--------------	---

Note

See also:

I.Thespiai 156:
Le Guen I no. 22
Aneziri no. B4
Manieri 2009: Thes. 10

I.Thespiai 161:
SEG 32: 434
Le Guen I no. 23A
Aneziri no. Gb1
Manieri 2009: Thes. 17

I.Thespiai 165:
SEG 32: 435
Le Guen I no. 23B (see also SEG 32: 498 and SEG 36: 472)
Aneziri no. Gb2
Manieri 2009: Thes. 23

I.Thespiai 166:
Roesch 1982: 190, no. 34
Le Guen I no. 23C
Aneziri no. Gb3
Manieri 2009: Thes 24

I.Thespiai 167:
Roesch 1982: 190, no. 35
Le Guen I no. 23D
Aneziri no. Gb4
Manieri 2009: Thes. 27

I.Thespiai 169:
SEG 32: 436
Le Guen I no. 23E
Aneziri no. Gb5
Manieri 2009: Thes. 29

I.Thespiai 171:
Roesch 1982: 192, no. 38
Le Guen I no. 23G
Aneziri no. Gb7
Manieri 2009: Thes. 31

I.Thespiai 172:
Roesch 1982: 192-3, no. 39
Le Guen I no. 23H
Aneziri no. Gb8
Manieri 2009: Thes. 33

Online Resources

[I.Thespiai 156](#)
[I.Thespiai 161](#)
[I.Thespiai 165](#)
[I.Thespiai 166](#)
[I.Thespiai 167](#)
[I.Thespiai 169](#)
[I.Thespiai 171](#)
[I.Thespiai 172](#)

i.a. Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)
i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script	I.Thespiai 156: decree of the <i>technitai</i> concerning the reorganisation of the festival of the Mouseia. I.Thespiai 161 and following: victors' list at the Mouseia All inscriptions are written in Greek.
i.c. Physical format(s)	I.Thespiai 156: large limestone block. Picked band on the left and at the top picked. Two columns are illustrated on the stone. I.Thespiai 161: base of white limestone in the shape of a triangular pyramid. I.Thespiai 165: stele of white limestone broken to the right and at the bottom. I.Thespiai 166: upper part of a stele of white limestone. I.Thespiai 167: stele of white limestone with pediment, broken at the bottom. Only the left acroterion, decorated with leaves, is preserved. I.Thespiai 169: stele of beige limestone with pediment and acroteria, broken at the bottom. I.Thespiai 171: pedimental stele of white limestone with acroteria broken at the bottom. I.Thespiai 172: pedimental stele of beige limestone with acroteria. Broken in two pieces.

VII. ORGANIZATION

iii. Members	τεχνῖται, <i>technitai</i>
iv. Officials	<p>Priest: I.Thespiai 156, A 1. 3; I.Thespiai 161, l. 4; I.Thespiai 165, l. 5; I.Thespiai 166, l. 5; I.Thespiai 167, l. 4; I.Thespiai 169, ll. 3-4; I.Thespiai 171, l. 5; I.Thespiai 172, l. 5.</p> <p>In the victors lists at the Mouseia I.Thespiai 161 and following (see above) the priest sent by the <i>technitai</i> appears as an envoy (ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν τεχνιτῶν, <i>apo de ton techniton</i>) named just after the local priest of the Muses (see Aneziri: 134-5 and 273). The priest is a priest of the Muses. In I.Thespiai 167 he is from Sikyon, and in I.Thespiai 169 and 171 from Thebes.</p> <p><i>Pyrphoros</i> (the expression πυρφοροῦντος, <i>pyrphorountos</i> is used): a <i>pyrphoros</i> is sent by the <i>technitai</i> in I.Thespiai 169 and 171. Both are from Thebes. In I.Thespiai 172 the pyrphoros sent by the <i>technitai</i> is from Argos, but a <i>vacat</i> was left for his name. On <i>pyrphoroī</i>: Aneziri: 137.</p>

X. ACTIVITIES

i. Assemblies	Attested by the fact that the <i>technitai</i> pass decrees (I.Thespiai 156).
ii. Meetings and events	Participation in the organisation of the Thespian Mouseia. On this, see Aneziri: 271-83 and Aneziri 2007: 68-80.
iii. Worship	The priest sent by the <i>technitai</i> is a priest of the Muses, implying that the <i>technitai</i> were worshipping the Muses. On the <i>technitai</i> and the Muses: Le Guen 2001: 85-6.

XI. INTERACTION

i. Local interaction	The <i>technitai</i> organise jointly with the city of Thespiai the festival of the Mouseia and send priests, sometimes alongside <i>pyrphoroī</i> , to the festival (see Le Guen 2001: 18).
----------------------	--

XII. NOTES

i. Comments	The festival of the Mouseia at Thespiai underwent a reorganisation in the latter part of the 3rd century BC. The chronology of the events is complex and still debated among scholars (see among others Knoepfler 1996, Schachter 2010-11, Le Guen I; Manieri 2009: 318-25). The <i>technitai</i> agree in their decree I.Thespiai 156 to make of the festival of the Mouseia a stephanites avon. The decree refers to the fact that the festival was already shared between the city of Thespiai and the <i>technitai</i> . The lastest victors list (I.Thespiai 172) mentioning envoys from the <i>technitai</i> dates to after 84 BC.
iii. Bibliography	Aneziri, S. (2003), <i>Die Vereine der dionysischen Techniten im Kontext der hellenistischen Gesellschaft. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte, Organisation und Wirkung der hellenistischen Technitenvereine</i> . Stuttgart. Aneziri, S. (2007), ‘The Organisation of Music Contests in the Hellenistic Period and Artists’ Participation: An Attempt at Classification’, in P. Wilson (ed.), <i>The Greek Theatre and Festivals</i> , Oxford: 67-84. Knoepfler, D. (1996), ‘La réorganisation du concours des Mouseia à l’époque hellénistique: esquisse d’une solution nouvelle’, in A. Hurst and A. Schachter (eds.), <i>La montagne des Muses</i> , Geneva: 141-67. Le Guen, B. (2001), <i>Les associations de technites dionysiaques à l’époque hellénistique</i> . vol 2. Nancy. Manieri, A. (2009), <i>Agoni Poetico-musicali nella Grecia Antica, I. Beozia</i> . Roma. Schachter, A. (2010), ‘The Mouseia of Thespiai: Organization and Development’, <i>Rudiae</i> 22-23: 29-61. Roesch, P. (1982), <i>Etudes bœtiennes</i> . Paris.

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association	Certain
Note	The Dionysiac <i>technitai</i> present all features of a private association. The internal organization of the Dionysiac <i>technitai</i> has been meticulously established in modern scholarship.