# Author: MARIO C.D. PAGANINI

## CAPInv. 1292: hoi nekrotaphoi

## I. LOCATION

| i.   | Geographical area | Egypt               |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|
| ii.  | Nome              | Oxyrhynchites (U19) |
| iii. | Site              | Oxyrhynchus (?)     |

### II. NAME

| i. Full name (original language) | οί νεκροτάφοι (P.Ryl. II 65, l. 3) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ii. Full name (transliterated)   | hoi nekrotaphoi                    |

#### III. DATE

i. Date(s) 95 (?) - 67 (?) BC

## IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

| ii.  | Name elements     | Professional:                          | nekrotaphoi |
|------|-------------------|--|-------------|
| iii. | Descriptive terms | ἔθνος, <i>ethnos</i>                   |             |
|      | Note              | <i>Ethnos</i> : P.Ryl. II 65, 11. 3 at | nd 6.       |

| i.   | Source(s)        | P.Ryl. II 65 (24 Mecheir = 1 March 67 BC)                                  |  |
|------|------------------|--|--|
|      | Note             | The year (67 BC) is uncertain, as the reigning Sovereign is not specified. |  |
|      | Online Resources | <u>P.Ryl. II 65</u><br><u>TM 5284</u>                                      |  |
| i.a. | Source type(s)   | Papyrological source(s)  |  |
|      |                  |  |  |

i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script

Greek judicial proceedings and sentence of the *chrematistai* (i.e. Greek court of justice) against some members of the *ethnos* of the *nekrotaphoi* who did not abide by the provisions of the rules of the *ethnos* concerning the division of shares for the burial of bodies. The *ethnos* had petitioned the authority in order to seek justice against their wrong-doing members.

i.c. Physical format(s)

Papyrus.

## VI. BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

| ii. | References to buildings/objects | Αἰγυπτία συγγραφή, Aigyptia syngraphe 1.3 |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
|     |                                 | σώματα, somata 1. 9                       |

### VII. ORGANIZATION

| iii. Members         | The members of this group are called of $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon}  \check{\epsilon} \sigma v \sigma v \epsilon \kappa \rho \sigma \tau [\acute{a} \phi \sigma \sigma, hoi ek tou ethnous nekrotaphoi (l. 3). If we are to take the expression literally, we would have to conclude that: 1) the ethnos was formed by nekrotaphoi and members coming from other backgrounds; and/or 2) there were some nekrotaphoi who did not (have to) belong to the ethnos.$   |
|----------------------|---|
| vi. Laws and rules   | The nekrotaphoi belonging to the ethnos signed a contract or group's regulations written in Demotic (Aìyoπtía συγγραφή, Aigyptia syngraphe, l. 3). The document regulated the division of shares of each member in the business of the burial of corpses which had been agreed upon by the members themselves and was registered in the local grapheion or record office on 9 Phamenoth of the nineteenth regnal year of an unmentioned Sovereign (it should be Ptolemy X Alexander I and Cleopatra Berenice = 23 March 95 BC. Ll. 3-5: $\frac{1}{9}$ σαν πάντες οἱ ἐκ τοῦ ἔθνους νεκροτ[άφοι τε]θειμένοι Aiyoπτίαν συγγραφὴν   [ἀναγραφείσ]αν [τῶ]ι τθ (ἕτει) Φαμενώθ θ διὰ τοῦ ἐν τῆ]ι τἀτῆι] πόλει γραφίου περὶ ἀποδιαστολῆς   [τ]ộν [ίνα ἀντ]ộφ σ[μι]φωνηθέντων μεισμῶν, esan pantes hoi ek tou ethnous nekrotaphoi tethemenoi Aigyptian syngraphen anagrapheisan toi 19 (etei) Phamenoth 9 dia tou en tei autei polei grapheiou peri apodiastoles ton hyp'auton symphonethenton merismon). Some members did not abide by the shares agreed and refused to pay the relevant fines. Therefore, almost thirty years after the registration of the contract/regulations, on 24 Mecheir of the fourteenth year of another unmentioned ruler (it should be Ptolemy XII Auletes = 1 March 67 BC), the ethnos wrote a petition (l. 8: ὑπόμνημα, hypomnema) to the authority to ask for justice. The proceedings of the judicial sentence state quite clearly how the injunctions and dispositions had been agreed upon by the members; these regulations were considered valid and binding by the authority: συνεκρίναμεν τὰ διὰ τῆς τεθειμένης ὑπλ τζοίτων] έκουσίως πρὸς ἀλλήλους Aiyoπτίας συγγραφᾶς διαστολὰς κὰ τἂλλα τὰ δι' αὐτῆς ξόι]ωρισμένα μένειν κύρια καὶ ἀπαράβατα, synekrinamen tas dia tes tetheimenes hypo touton hekousios pros allelous Aigyptias syngraphes diastolas kai ta alla ta di' autes diorismena menein kyria kai aparabata (ll. 16-18). The fact that the ethnos had to petition the authority shows how difficult internal enforcement of the regulations sometimes was. |
| vii. Judicial system | Fines (ἐπίτιμα, <i>epitima</i> ll. 6 and 11) are to be paid to the <i>ethnos</i> and to the state in case of breach of the dispositions regarding the shares of work agreed upon in the contract/regulations: ἐπάναγκες τὸν παραβησόμενον ἢ ἀντι ποιησόμεν[ο]ν τῶν ἀποδιεσταλμένων ἑκάστωι ἀποτεῖσαι τῶι ἕθνει ἐπίτιμον χαλκοῦ   νομίσματ[ος.] καὶ εἰς τὸ βασιλικὸν τὰ ἴσα, <i>epanankes ton parabesomenon e antipoiesomenon ton apodiestalmenon hekastoi apoteisai toi ethnei epitimon chalkou nomismatos [] kai eis to basilikon ta isa</i> (ll. 5-7).  |

| i.   | Treasury/Funds | The <i>ethnos</i> must have had a treasury where the funds levied from fines were kept.  |
|------|----------------|--|
| iii. | Income         | The <i>ethnos</i> could avail over fines by those who did not abide by the regulations – these fines were no always easily exacted from the members, as the present case testifies. Other sources of income are also possible. |

## IX. MEMBERSHIP

| ii. | Gender                             | Men  |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|
|     | Note                               | The two names of the members preserved (Petosiris and Paris) are male names. |
| vi. | Proper names and physical features | τοὺς περὶ τὸν Π[ε]τοσεῖριν καὶ Παρεῖν  |

| iv. Honours/Other activities | The <i>nekrotaphoi</i> belonging to the <i>ethnos</i> were busy in the funerary activities of burial of corpses ( <i>somata</i> ) and as a group they had agreed upon the different shares ( <i>merismoi</i> ) that each member was entitled to. |
|------------------------------|--|

#### XII. NOTES

i. Comments

The group may overlap with <u>CAPInv. 1230</u>.

#### XIII. EVALUATION

| i. Private association | Probable   |
|------------------------|--|
| Note                   | The <i>ethnos</i> displays a well-organised structure and durable intent. It had the ability to interact with the authority and had regulations entered voluntarily by its members which were recognised as valid and contractually binding by the authority too. A system of fines to be exacted in favour of the <i>ethnos</i> (and of the Crown) was in place too: how difficult this may have been appears clear in the present case. All this shows that it is very probable that the <i>ethnos</i> to which the <i>nekrotaphoi</i> belonged was a private association, either grouping together different people involved in funerary proceedings and related activities, or some <i>nekrotaphoi</i> only. However, there is also the possibility that the group was in fact a mere business enterprise. |

