Author: MARIO C.D. PAGANINI

CAPInv. 674: U-EGY-013

I. LOCATION

i. Geographical area Egypt

II. NAME

i. Association with unknown name U-EGY-013

III. DATE

i. Date(s) 51 (?) - 30 (?) BC

IV. NAME AND TERMINOLOGY

iii. Descriptive terms σύνοδος, synodos

Note Synodos: P.Ryl. IV 590, 1l. 4, 8, 16

V SOURCES

i. Source(s) P.Ryl. IV 590 (51-30 BC?)

Note The text is published also in CPJ I 138.

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Online Resources} & & \underline{P.Ryl.~IV~590} \\ \hline TM~5306 & & \\ \end{array}$

i.a. Source type(s) Papyrological source(s)

i.b. Document(s) typology & language/script Fragmentary Greek decree or resolution of an association.

i.c. Physical format(s) Papyrus.

VI BUILT AND VISUAL SPACE

ii. References to buildings/objects

The association held assembly in a proseuch (l. 1).

VII ORGANIZATION

iv. Officials

It is possible that there is mention of the γραμματεύς, grammateus of the association (II. 3, 14).

VIII. PROPERTY AND POSSESSIONS

ii. Realty

On the basis of the presence of terms such as $\tau \alpha \phi 1 = \tau \phi 1$, $\tau \phi 1 = \tau \phi 1$, and $\tau \phi 1 = \tau \phi 1$ and $\tau \phi 1 = \tau \phi 1$, it may be the case that the association had an established organisation and practice for communal burial for its members, or had been set up for those who took care of it.

IX MEMBERSHIP

iv. Status

It seems probable that the man (whose name is in lacuna and was restored as Demetrios *exempli gratia*) with the aulic title of τ $\^{o}ν$ [(πρ $\acute{o}ν$ τον)] φ $\^{i}λ$ ον καὶ θ[ν(ρφ $ρ<math>\^{o}ν$)(?)] καὶ εἰσαγγελέων καὶ ἀρχυπηρε(τ $\^{o}ν$), ton proton philon kai thyroron kai eisangeleon kai archypereton (l. 2) was a distinguished member (perhaps the leader?) of the association.

X. ACTIVITIES

i. Assemblies

The association held its assembly (συναγωγή, synagoge) in a proseuche, a synagogue (l. 1).

XII. NOTES

i. Comments

The fragmentary state of the papyrus does not allow a fuller understanding of the text. It is uncertain whether the association was a Jewish association: given the incomplete state of the text, the only reason to suppose this assumption is the association's choice to meet in a synagogue (*proseuche*). Equally uncertain is the relation between the association and the involvement in the burial profession.

XIII. EVALUATION

i. Private association

Certain

Note

The terminology used (synodos, synagoge) make it certain that we have here a private association.