Author: BENEDIKT ECKHARDT

CAPInv. GR-35: hai synodo[i]

I. LOCATION

i.	Geographical area	Western Asia Minor
ii.	Region	Phrygia
iii.	Site	Hierapolis

i.a.	Full reference (original language)	αί σύνοδο[ι] (Altertümer von Hierapolis 32, 1. 6)
i.b.	Full reference (transliterated)	hai synodo[i]
ii.	Reference context	The <i>synodoi</i> are mentioned alongside other, official institutions: <i>[boule], [de]mos, gerousia, synedrion ton Rhomaion, neoi.</i> All these institutions have repeatedly honoured a Roman citizen.

II. DATE

i. Date(s)

iii AD

IV. TERMINOLOGY

i. Descriptive terms	σύνοδοι, synodoi
Note	synodoi: Altertümer von Hierapolis 36, l. 6

i.	Source(s)	Altertümer von Hierapolis 32 (iii AD)
	Online Resources	Altertümer von Hierapolis 32
i.a.	Source type(s)	Epigraphic source(s)
i.b.	Document(s) typology & language/script	Honorific decree
ii.	Source(s) provenance	The "Hall" near the theatre of Hierapolis
ISS	N 2446-2500 EV NC SA	Page 1 of 2

VIII. NOTES

iii.	Bibliography	Ritti, T. (2003), 'La neocoria di Hierapolis di Frigia', in <i>Epigraphica. Atti delle Giornate di Studio di Roma e di Atene in memoria di Margherita Guarducci (1902-1999)</i> , Rome: 177-215.
ii.	Poland concordance	Poland Δ *49C
		The term <i>synodos</i> is applied only to the Dionysiac artists in Hierapolis. It is therefore unlikely that <i>hai synodoi</i> refers to the city's many professional associations (<i>ergasiai</i>).
i.	Comments	If Ritti is correct in restoring [ἡ νεωκόρος βουλή], <i>[he neokoros boule]</i> in 1. 1 (instead of Judeich's [ἡ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \dot{\eta}$], <i>[he lamprotate boule]</i> ; cf. Altertümer von Hierapolis 34), the inscription should be dated no earlier than 214-217 CE, because that is presumably the time when Hierapolis received the <i>neokoria</i> .

i.	Private associations	Possible
	Note	If the <i>synodoi</i> were regarded as private associations, they would be the only exception in a list of public institutions. This possibility cannot be excluded (it would fit the general trend of the late 2nd/early 3rd century CE), but one might also see the inscription as evidence for the public nature of the <i>synodoi</i> .
ii.	Historical authenticity	Certain

